

Appl. No. 10/823,182
Amdt. dated December 2, 2004
Reply to Office action of October 4, 2004

REMARKS

Applicants have received the Office action dated October 4, 2004, in which the Examiner rejected claims 5-32 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Bunton et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,765,922). With this Response, Applicants amend the Specification to include the current status of all non-provisional applications referenced as requested by the Examiner. Also, without regards to the merits of the Examiner's double patenting rejection, Applicants submit herewith a Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the double patenting rejection.

In the Office action, the Examiner incorrectly stated that the above application was filed under 37 C.F.R. 114 as a Request for Continued Examination or "RCE." Applicants clarify that the above application was filed as a continuation under 37 C.F.R. 1.53(b) in which the parent case survives. Thus, Applicants' intention is to receive another patent in addition to U.S. Patent 6,765,922.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. It is believed that no extensions of time or fees are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Hewlett-Packard Development Company's Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

Respectfully submitted,



Alan D. Christenson
PTO Reg. No. 54,036
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.
(713) 238-8000 (Phone)
(713) 238-8008 (Fax)
AGENT FOR APPLICANTS

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
Legal Dept., M/S 35
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400